Campden RA Pension Scheme ('the Scheme') – Implementation Statement 1st January 2023 – 31st December 2023

An Implementation Statement ('Statement') has been prepared in accordance with applicable legislation, taking into account guidance from The Department for Work and Pensions, for the period from 1^{st} January $2023 - 31^{st}$ December 2023 ('the Scheme Year').

The Scheme's reporting period for each fund is the holding period of that fund across the Scheme Year.

The Statement sets out how, and the extent to which, the Trustee's policy in relation to exercising voting rights has been followed during the year by describing the voting behaviour on behalf of the Trustee of the Scheme.

The Trustee has appointed Minerva Analytics ('Minerva') to obtain voting and investment engagement information ('VEI') on the Scheme's behalf.

This Statement includes Minerva's report on key findings on behalf of the Trustee over the Scheme Year.

A summary of the key points is set out below.

Apollo

Apollo confirmed that there was no voting information to report due to the nature of the underlying holdings.

The manager provided basic fund-level information on engagements that was in line with the Scheme's reporting period. From this Minerva was able to confirm that the activity appeared to broadly comply with the manager's own engagement approach, and so complies with the Scheme's approach.

LGIM

For all but the Diversified Fund, LGIM stated that there was no voting information to report due to the nature of the underlying holdings. In relation to the Diversified Fund, it was determined by Minerva that the manager's public voting policy and disclosures are broadly in line with good practice as represented by the International Corporate Governance Network ('ICGN') Voting Guidelines Principles, bearing in mind the Scheme's stewardship expectations. The manager provided a summarised voting record that was in line with the Scheme's reporting period. Significant votes were also provided. From this, Minerva was able to confirm that the manager's voting activity was in line with the Trustee's policy.

LGIM provided basic fund-level information on engagements that was in line with the Scheme's reporting period. From this, Minerva was able to confirm that the activity appeared to broadly comply with the manager's own engagement approach, and so complies with the Scheme's approach.

Partners Group

The manager stated that there was no voting information to report due to the nature of the underlying holdings.

Partners Group provided basic fund-level information on engagements that was in line with the Scheme's reporting period. From this, Minerva was able to confirm that the activity appeared to broadly comply with the manager's own engagement approach, and so complies with the Scheme's approach.

AVCs

The Scheme holds AVCs. The Trustee has determined they will not be covered in this Statement on the grounds of materiality.



Campden RA Pension Scheme

Dalriada Trustees Limited

Implementation Statement (IS):

Voting & Engagement Information (VEI) Report

Contents

1	SIP Disclosures	3
2	Sourcing of Voting and Engagement Information	7
3	Voting and Engagement	9
4	Exercise of Voting Rights	11
5	Manager Voting Policy	13
6	Manager Voting Behaviour	15
7	Significant Votes	17
8	Manager Engagement Information	23
9	Conclusion	29

1 SIP Disclosures

This section sets out the policies in the Statement of Investment Principles ('SIP') in force at the Scheme year-end relating to the following:

- 1. Financially Material Considerations
- 2. Non-Financial Considerations
- 3. Investment Manager Arrangements

Stewardship - including the exercise of voting rights and engagement activities - is set out in the 'Voting and Engagement' section.

Source of Information:

Campden RA Pension Scheme Statement of Investment Principles June 2023



1.1 Financially Material Considerations

The Trustee has considered financially material factors such as environmental, social and governance ('ESG') issues as part of the investment process to determine a strategic asset allocation over the length of time during which the benefits are provided by the Scheme for members. It believes that financially material considerations (including climate change) are implicitly factored into the expected risk and return profile of the asset classes they are investing in.

In endeavouring to invest in the best financial interests of the beneficiaries, the Trustee has elected to invest through pooled funds. The Trustee acknowledges that it cannot directly influence the environmental, social and governance policies and practices of the companies in which the pooled funds invest. However, the Trustee does expect its fund managers and investment consultant to take account of financially material considerations when carrying out their respective roles.

The Trustee accepts that the Scheme's assets are subject to the investment managers' own policies on socially responsible investment. The Trustee will assess that this corresponds with its responsibilities to the beneficiaries of the Scheme with the help of its investment consultant.

An assessment of the ESG and responsible investment policies forms part of the manager selection process when appointing new managers and these policies are also reviewed regularly for existing managers with the help of the investment consultant. The Trustee will only invest with investment managers that are signatories for the United Nations Principles of Responsible Investment ('UN PRI') or other similarly recognised standard.

The Trustee will monitor financially material considerations through the following means:

- Obtain training where necessary on ESG considerations in order to understand fully how ESG factors including climate change could impact the Scheme and its investments;
- Use ESG ratings information provided by its investment consultant, to assess how the Scheme's investment managers take account of ESG issues; and
- Request that all of the Scheme's investment managers provide information about their ESG policies, and details of how they integrate ESG into their investment processes, via its investment consultant.

Through engagement, the Trustee will work with the investment managers to improve their alignment with the above policies. If the Trustee determines that financially material considerations have not been factored into the investment managers' process and are not acting in accordance with the Trustee's policies in this area, it will take this into account on whether to select or retain an investment.

1.2 Non-Financial Considerations

The Trustee has not considered non-financially material matters in the in the selection, retention and realisation of investments.

1.3 Investment Manager Arrangements

Incentives to align investment managers' investment strategies and decisions with the Trustee's policies

The Scheme invests in pooled funds and so the Trustee acknowledges the fund's investment strategy and decisions cannot be tailored to the Trustee's policies.

However, the Trustee sets its investment strategy and then selects managers that best suits its strategy taking into account the fees being charged, which acts as the fund managers incentive.

The Trustee uses the fund objective/benchmark as a guide on whether its investment strategy is being followed and monitors this regularly.

Incentives for the investment managers to make decisions based on assessments about medium to long-term financial and non-financial performance of an issuer of debt or equity and to engage with issuers of debt or equity in order to improve their performance in the medium to long-term

The Trustee selects managers based on a variety of factors including investment philosophy, and process, which it believes should include assessing the long term financial and non-financial performance of the underlying company.

The Trustee also considers the managers voting and ESG policies and how it engages with the company as it believes that these factors can improve the medium to long-term performance of the investee companies.

The Trustee will monitor the fund managers' engagement and voting activity on an annual basis as they believe this can improve long term performance. The Trustee expects their managers to make every effort to engage with investee companies but acknowledges that their influence may be more limited in some asset classes, such as bonds, as they do not have voting rights.

The Trustee acknowledges that in the short term, these policies may not improve the returns it achieves, but do expect those companies with better financial and non-financial performance over the long term will lead to better returns for the Scheme.

The Trustee believes the annual fee paid to the fund managers incentivise them to do this.

If the Trustee feels that the fund managers are not assessing financial and non-financial performance or adequately engaging with the companies they are investing in, it will use these factors in deciding whether to retain or terminate a manager.

How the method (and time horizon) of the evaluation of the investment managers' performance and the remuneration for asset management services are in line with the Trustee's policies

The Trustee reviews the performance of each fund quarterly on a net of fees basis compared to its objective.

The Trustee assesses the performance periods of the funds over at least a 3-5 year period when looking to select or terminate a manager, unless there are reasons other than performance that need to be considered.

The fund managers' remuneration is considered as part of the manager selection process and is also monitored regularly with the help of its investment consultant to ensure it is in line with the Trustee's policies.

How the Trustee monitors portfolio turnover costs incurred by the investment managers, and how they define and monitor targeted portfolio turnover or turnover range

The Trustee does not directly monitor turnover costs. However, the investment managers are incentivised to minimize costs as they are measured on a net of costs basis.

The Trustee recognises that portfolio turnover and associated transaction costs are a necessary part of investment management and that the impact of portfolio turnover costs is reflected in performance figures provided by the investment managers.

The Trustee does not believe in setting a portfolio turnover target – being the frequency with which the assets are expected to be bought/sold – because each investment manager's style differs in terms of level of frequent active management, and therefore turnover, involved. The Trustee believes transaction costs should be monitored indirectly as one aspect of a holistic approach to overall manager performance assessment.

The duration of the arrangement with the investment managers

The Trustee plans to hold each of its investments for the long term but will keep this under review.

Changes in investment strategy or change in the view of the fund manager can lead to the duration of the arrangement being shorter than expected.

Duration is considered in the context of the type of fund the Scheme invests in:

- For closed ended funds (or lock-in periods) the Trustee ensures the timeframe of the investment is in line with their objectives and Scheme's liquidity requirements
- For open ended funds, the duration is flexible and the Trustee will from time-to-time consider the appropriateness of these investments and whether they should continue to be held.

2 Sourcing of Voting and Engagement Information

This section sets out the availability of the information Minerva initially requested from the Scheme's managers, to facilitate the preparation of this report:

Table 2.1: Summary of Available Information

Fund Manager	Investment Fund/Product	Voting Information	Significant Votes	Engagement Information
Apollo	Total Return Fund	No Info to Report	No Info to Report	Part Info Available
	Absolute Return Bond Plus Fund	No Info to Report	No Info to Report	Part Info Available
1 CIN4*	Cash Fund	No Info to Report	No Info to Report	No Info to Report
LGIM*	Diversified Fund	Full Info Available	Full Info Available	Part Info Available
	LDI Matching Core Funds (4 Funds)	No Info to Report	No Info to Report	No Info to Report
Partners Group	Private Market Credit Strategies 2020	No Info to Report	No Info to Report	Part Info Available

^{*} LGIM have requested that a Disclaimer be shared, which should be read in relation to any stewardship information provided by them. It can be found at the end of this report.

Table Key

Full Info Available

Part Info Available

No Info to Report

The manager has provided either a PLSA Voting Template or voting data that precisely matches the specific investment's holding / reporting period

The manager has provided either a PLSA Voting Template or voting data that partially matches the specific investment's holding / reporting period

The manager has explicitly stated that there is no voting or engagement information to report for this specific investment or that it is not expected there will be any voting or engagement information to report due to the nature of the underlying investments

No Info Provided

At the time of preparing this report, the manager has either not formally responded to the information request or has not provided information when we believe there should be information to report

Minerva Says:

Voting Activity

There was voting information disclosed for the Scheme's investments in the following funds:

LGIM Diversified Fund

Significant Votes

There was 'Significant Vote' information disclosed for the Scheme's investments in the following funds:

LGIM Diversified Fund

Engagement Activity

There was reportable engagement information available for the Scheme's investments with the following managers:

- Apollo Total Return Fund
- LGIM Absolute Return Bond Plus Fund
- LGIM Diversified Fund
- Partners Group Private Market Credit Strategies 2020

3 Voting and Engagement

The Trustee is required to disclose the voting and engagement activity over the Scheme year. The Trustee has used Minerva Analytics ('Minerva') to obtain voting and investment engagement information (VEI) on the Scheme's behalf.

This statement provides a summary of the key information and summarizes Minerva's findings on behalf of the Scheme over the Scheme's reporting year.

The voting and engagement activity undertaken by the Scheme's managers, as reported by them and set out in this document, has been in the scheme members' best interests insomuch that it demonstrates that the Scheme's managers have undertaken stewardship activity they deem to be appropriate and proportionate in the oversight and management of the Scheme's investments.

3.1 Voting and Engagement Policy and Funds

The Trustee's policy on Stewardship from the Scheme's SIP is set out below:

The Trustee's policy on the exercise of rights attaching to investments, including voting rights, is that these rights should be exercised by the investment manager on the Trustee's behalf, having regard to the best financial interests of the beneficiaries.

The investment manager should engage with companies to take account of ESG factors in the exercise of such rights as the Trustee believes this will be beneficial to the financial interests of members over the long term. The Trustee will review the investment managers' voting policies, with the help of its investment consultant, and decide if they are appropriate.

The Trustee also expects the fund manager to engage with investee companies on the capital structure and management of conflicts of interest.

If the policies or level of engagement are not appropriate, the Trustee will engage with the investment manager, with the help of its investment consultant, to influence the investment managers' policy. If this fails, the Trustee will review the investments made with the investment manager.

The Trustee has taken into consideration the Financial Reporting Council's UK Stewardship Code and expect investment managers to adhere to this where appropriate for the investments they manage.

The Trustee's investment managers provide annual reports on how they have engaged with issuers regarding social, environmental and corporate governance issues.

The Trustee receives information from their investment advisers on the investment managers' approaches to engagement.

The Trustee will engage, via their investment adviser, with investment managers and/or other relevant persons about relevant matters at least annually.

The following table sets out:

- The funds and products in which the Scheme was invested during the Scheme's reporting period;
- The holding period for each fund or product; and
- Whether each investment manager made use of a 'proxy voter', as defined by the Regulations

Table 3.1: Scheme Investment/Product Information

Fund Manager	Investment Fund/Product	Investment Made Via	Fund / Product Type	Period Start Date	Period End Date	'Proxy Voter' Used?
Apollo	Total Return Fund	Direct	DB Fund	01/01/2023	31/12/2023	N/A
	Absolute Return Bond Plus Fund	Direct	DB Fund	01/01/2023	31/12/2023	N/A
LCIM	Cash Fund	Direct	DB Fund	01/01/2023	21/03/2023	N/A
LGIM	Diversified Fund	Direct	DB Fund	01/01/2023	31/12/2023	ISS
	Matching Core Funds (4 Funds)	Direct	DB Fund	01/01/2023	31/12/2023	N/A
Partners Group	Private Market Credit Strategies 2020	Direct	DB Fund	01/01/2023	31/12/2023	N/A

Minerva Says

As shown in the previous table:

- LGIM identified Institutional Shareholder Services, or 'ISS', as their 'Proxy Voter'.
- The investments shown as 'N/A' had no listed equity voting activity associated with them, and so had no need for a proxy voter.

4 Exercise of Voting Rights

The following tables show a comparison of each of the Scheme's relevant manager(s) voting activity versus the Trustee's policy (which in this instance is the manager's own policy):

Table 4.1: LGIM's Approach to Voting

Asset manager	LGIM (Legal & General Investment Management)
Relevant Scheme Investment(s)	 Diversified Fund

Key Points of Manager's Voting Policy

LGIM's latest <u>Corporate Governance and Responsible Investing Policy</u> sets out what the manager considers to be corporate governance best practice. It explains their expectations with respect to topics they believe are essential for an efficient governance framework, and for building a sustainable business model. LGIM have this to say in terms of their overall approach:

When developing our policies, we consider broader global guidelines and principles, such as those provided by the United Nations Global Compact, OECD and ILO conventions and recommendations, as well as local market regulatory expectations. We expect all companies to closely align with our principles, or to engage with us when exceptional circumstances prevent them from doing so. Although there is no 'one-size-fits-all' solution to building a sustainable business model, we look for companies we invest in to demonstrate that sustainability is effectively integrated into their long-term strategy and their daily operations. Companies should aim to minimise any negative impacts their businesses have on the environment, while innovating to find better solutions. Their strategies should include ways to make a positive impact on society, embrace the value of their workforce and supply chains and deliver positive long-term returns to shareholders.

LGIM's voting policy is built on the assessment of 5 key policy areas:

#	Policy Area	Example of Topics Covered			
1	Company Board	Board Leadership, Board Independence, Board Diversity, Succession Planning and Board Evaluation			
2	2 Audit, Risk & External Audit, Internal Audit and Whistleblowing				
3	Remuneration	Fixed Remuneration, Incentive Arrangements and Service Contracts and Termination Payments			
4	Shareholder & Bondholder Rights	Voting Rights and Share-class Structures, Shareholder Proposals and Political Donations			

5	Sustainability	Material ESG Risks & Opportunities, Target Setting, Public Disclosure and Engagement
---	----------------	--

The manager disclosed on their <u>website</u> how they have voted on the companies in which they invest on a monthly basis, including the rationale for votes against management. The information provided is at firm, rather than fund or product, level.

Is Voting Approach in Line with the Scheme's Policy?

Yes

Some examples of the manager's voting activity are provided in Section 7 – Significant Votes

Minerva Says

- LGIM have set out how they approach their stewardship responsibilities for listed companies on behalf of their clients.
- From the information available, we believe that the voting approach is consistent with the Scheme's voting approach expectations of its investment managers.

5 Manager Voting Policy

As the current approach of the Scheme is to use the voting policy of the external asset managers, it is important that these policies are independently reviewed to ensure that they match current good practice and the general stewardship expectations set by the Scheme. Well-managed companies that operate in a commercially, socially and environmentally responsible manner are expected to perform better over the longer term, as the Scheme believe that adopting such an approach will allow each company's management to identify, address and monitor the widest range of risks associated with their specific business.

Set out in the following table is Minerva's independent assessment of the Scheme's managers' publicly available voting policies, in the context of current good practice as represented by the ICGN Voting Guidelines, whilst also bearing the Scheme's stewardship expectations in mind. This has been done for each manager where they have identified voting activity on behalf of the Scheme.

We have assessed each manager's policy individually, looking at it from Minerva's perspective of seven 'Voting Policy Pillars' that are at the core of our proxy voting research process, and which we have developed over the last 25 years. In using this well-tried approach, the Scheme can be sure that their investment managers voting policies are being carefully considered against current good practice.

Table 5.1: Voting Policy Alignment

Manager Voting Policy Alignment with Current Good Practice

	Investment Manager	Audit & Reporting	Board	Capital	Corporate Actions	Remuneration	Shareholder Rights	Sustainability
	LGIM	Aligned	Aligned	Aligned	Aligned	Aligned	Aligned	Aligned
Comments LGIM's voting policy and disclosures broadly comply with the ICGN Voting Guidelines Principles and good corporate governant							ance practices.	

Table Key

Aligned	This aspect of the manager's voting policy is aligned with good practice
Limited Disclosures	This policy pillar could only be partially assessed on the information available in the manager's voting policy
No Disclosures	This policy pillar could not be assessed due to a lack of information in the manager's voting policy
Not Available	The manager's voting policy was not disclosed for analysis by Minerva

Minerva Says

For the investment managers that cast votes on behalf of the Scheme:

• LGIM's public voting policy is, in our view, broadly in line with good practice, and is what we would expect to see from such a large asset steward.

6 Manager Voting Behaviour

The Trustee believes that responsible oversight of investee companies is a fundamental duty of good stewardship. As such, it expects the Scheme's managers to vote at the majority of investee company meetings every year, and to provide sufficient information as to allow for the independent assessment of their voting activity.

The table below sets out the voting behaviour as disclosed by each of the Scheme's managers:

Table 6.1: Manager Voting Behaviour

		No. of Meetings	No. of Resolutions					
Manager	Fund	Eligible for Voting	Eligible for Voting	% Eligible Voted	% Voted in Favour	% of Voted Against	% Abstain	
	Diversified Fund	9,077	94,290	99.8%	76.4%	23.4%	0.3%	
	Comments	ents						
The manager provided a summarised voting record for the Fund that covered the Scheme's specific investment holding period.					period.			
	From the summarised information provided, we can see that the manager has voted at most investee company meetings for the Fund, which is in line with the Trustee's expectations of its managers.							

Table Key

Available Information matches the Scheme's specific reporting period / investment holding period **Available Information** is for a different period than the Scheme's reporting period / investment holding period

Information was not provided by the manager

Not Applicable

Minerva Says

For the Scheme's managers where voting data was provided in response to our information requests, we believe that they have followed the Scheme's requirements in relation to voting activity, as stated in the Scheme's SIP:

The Trustee's policy on the exercise of rights attaching to investments, including voting rights, is that these rights should be exercised by the investment manager on the Trustee's behalf, having regard to the best financial interests of the beneficiaries.

7 Significant Votes

Set out in the following section are 5 examples of the Scheme's manager(s) voting behaviour from the relevant fund(s) in which the Scheme was invested. A 'Significant Vote' relates to any resolution at a company that meets one of the following criteria:

- 1. Identified by the manager themselves as being of significance;
- 2. Contradicts local market best practice (e.g., the UK Corporate Governance Code in the UK);
- 3. Is one proposed by shareholders that attracts at least 20% support from investors;
- 4. Attracts over 10% dissenting votes from shareholders.

Where the manager has not provided sufficient data to identify 'Significant Votes' based on criteria 2-4 above, we have used manager-identified examples:

Table 7.1 LGIM's 'Significant Votes'

Manager	Fund	Company Name	Date of Vote	Approx Size of Holding (as % of Fund)	Summary of Resolution	Voting Action	Outcome of Vote
LGIM	Diversified Fund	Sempra Energy	12/05/23	0.10%	Resolution 1h - Elect Director Cynthia J. Warner	Against	93.9% of votes cast were in support of the resolution

Why a 'Significant Vote?

LGIM considers this vote to be significant as it is in application of an escalation of our vote policy on the topic of the combination of the board chair and CEO (escalation of engagement by vote).

Manager's Vote Rationale:

Joint Chair/CEO: A vote against is applied as LGIM expects companies to respond to a meaningful level of shareholder support requesting the company to implement an independent Board Chair.

Were Votes Against Company Management Communicated to the Company Ahead of the Meeting?

LGIM publicly communicates its vote instructions on its website the day after the company meeting, with a rationale for all votes against management. It is our policy not to engage with our investee companies in the three weeks prior to an AGM as our engagement is not limited to shareholder meeting topics.

Next Steps / Implications of the Outcome:

LGIM will continue to engage with our investee companies, publicly advocate our position on this issue and monitor company and market-level progress.

Relevance to Manager's Stated Policy:

Company Board Audit, Risk & Internal Control Remuneration Shareholder & Bondholder Rights Sustainability	Company Board	Audit, Risk & Internal Control	Remuneration	Shareholder & Bondholder Rights	Sustainability
--	---------------	--------------------------------	--------------	---------------------------------	----------------

We believe this voting activity is consistent with the manager's stated approach, and so is also consistent with the Scheme's approach

Manager	Fund	Company Name	Date of Vote	Approx Size of Holding (as % of Fund)	Summary of Resolution	Voting Action	Outcome of Vote
LGIM	Diversified Fund	FirstEnergy Corporation	24/05/23	0.05 %	Resolution 1.4 - Elect Director Paul Kaleta	Against	90.3% of votes cast were in support of the resolution

Why a 'Significant Vote?

Thematic - Diversity: LGIM views gender diversity as a financially material issue for our clients, with implications for the assets we manage on their behalf. Thematic - Board Leadership: LGIM considers this vote to be significant as it is in application of an escalation of our vote policy on the topic of the combination of the board chair and CEO (escalation of engagement by vote).

Manager's Vote Rationale:

Diversity: A vote against is applied as LGIM expects a company to have at least one-third women on the board. Joint Chair/CEO: A vote against is applied as LGIM expects companies not to recombine the roles of Board Chair and CEO without prior shareholder approval.

Were Votes Against Company Management Communicated to the Company Ahead of the Meeting?

LGIM publicly communicates its vote instructions on its website the day after the company meeting, with a rationale for all votes against management. It is our policy not to engage with our investee companies in the three weeks prior to an AGM as our engagement is not limited to shareholder meeting topics.

Next Steps / Implications of the Outcome:

LGIM will continue to engage with our investee companies, publicly advocate our position on this issue and monitor company and market-level progress.

Relevance to Manager's Stated Polic	Relevance to Manager's Stated Policy:										
Company Board	Audit, Risk & Internal Control	Remuneration	Shareholder & Bondholder Rights	er Rights Sustainability							
We believe this voting activity is consistent with the manager's stated approach, and so is also consistent with the Scheme's approach											

Manager	Fund	Company Name	Date of Vote	Approx Size of Holding (as % of Fund)	Summary of Resolution	Voting Action	Outcome of Vote
LGIM	Diversified Fund	Wyndham Hotels & Resorts, Inc.	09/05/23	Less than 0.01%	Resolution 1a - Elect Director Stephen P. Holmes	Against	97.2% of votes cast were in support of the resolution

Why a 'Significant Vote?

Thematic - Nature: LGIM considers this vote to be significant as it is applied under our engagement program on deforestation, targeting companies in high-risk sectors.

Manager's Vote Rationale:

Deforestation Policy: A vote against is applied as the company is deemed to not meet minimum standards with regard to LGIM's deforestation policy. supply.

Were Votes Against Company Management Communicated to the Company Ahead of the Meeting?

LGIM publicly communicates its vote instructions on its website the day after the company meeting, with a rationale for all votes against management. It is our policy not to engage with our investee companies in the three weeks prior to an AGM as our engagement is not limited to shareholder meeting topics.

Next Steps / Implications of the Outcome:

LGIM will continue to engage with our investee companies, publicly advocate our position on this issue and monitor company and market-level progress.

Relevance to Manager's Stated Policy:

Company Board Audit, Risk & Internal Control Remuneration Shareholder & Bondholder Rights Sustainability
--

We believe this voting activity is consistent with the manager's stated approach, and so is also consistent with the Scheme's approach

Manager	Fund	Company Name	Date of Vote	Approx Size of Holding (as % of Fund)	Summary of Resolution	Voting Action	Outcome of Vote
LGIM	Diversified Fund	Tricon Residential Inc.	21/06/23	0.01%	Resolution 1a - Elect Director David Berman	Withhold	93.2% of votes cast were in support of the resolution

Why a 'Significant Vote?

Thematic - Climate: LGIM considers this vote to be significant as it is applied under the Climate Impact Pledge, our flagship engagement programme targeting companies in climate-critical sectors. More information on LGIM's Climate Impact Pledge can be found here: https://www.lgim.com/uk/en/responsible-investing/climate-impact-pledge/

Manager's Vote Rationale:

Climate Impact Pledge: A vote against is applied as the company is deemed to not meet minimum standards with regard to climate risk management.

Were Votes Against Company Management Communicated to the Company Ahead of the Meeting?

LGIM publicly communicates its vote instructions on its website the day after the company meeting, with a rationale for all votes against management. It is our policy not to engage with our investee companies in the three weeks prior to an AGM as our engagement is not limited to shareholder meeting topics.

Next Steps / Implications of the Outcome:

LGIM will continue to engage with the company and monitor progress.

Relevance to Manager's Stated Policy:

Company Board Audit, Risk & Internal Control Remuneration Shareholder & Bondholder Rights Sustainability	Company Board	Company Board	Audit, Risk & Internal Control	Remuneration	Shareholder & Bondholder Rights	Sustainability
--	---------------	---------------	--------------------------------	--------------	---------------------------------	----------------

We believe this voting activity is consistent with the manager's stated approach, and so is also consistent with the Scheme's approach

Manager	Fund	Company Name		Approx Size of Holding (as % of Fund)	Summary of Resolution	Voting Action	Outcome of Vote
LGIM	Diversified Fund	Link Administration Holdings Limited	28/11/23	Less than 0.01%	Resolution 2 - Approve Remuneration Report	Against	Fail

Why a 'Significant Vote?

Thematic - Board Leadership: LGIM considers this vote to be significant as it is in application of an escalation of our vote policy on the topic of the combination of the board chair and CEO.

Manager's Vote Rationale:

Remuneration: A vote AGAINST this resolution is warranted. The following concerns are noted: - A high concern for misalignment of pay, performance and shareholder outcomes identified in quantitative pay for performance analysis; - Excessive STI bonuses to the CEO and partial LTI vesting being inconsistent with performance and shareholder outcomes; - Excessive fixed pay to the CEO, with STI and LTI opportunities relative to the median of peers; - High 50 percent weighting to non-financial performance measures and lower financial performance targets; - Transaction and retention bonuses being awarded after the unsuccessful Dye and Durham proposal and PEXA in special distribution; and - Excessive chair and NED fees relative to peers.

Were Votes Against Company Management Communicated to the Company Ahead of the Meeting?

LGIM publicly communicates its vote instructions on its website with the rationale for all votes against management. It is our policy not to engage with our investee companies in the three weeks prior to an AGM as our engagement is not limited to shareholder meeting topics

Next Steps / Implications of the Outcome:

LGIM will continue to engage with our investee companies, publicly advocate our position on this issue and monitor company and market-level progress.

Relevance to Manager's Stated Policy:

Company Board	Audit, Risk & Internal Control	Remuneration	Shareholder & Bondholder Rights	Sustainability
			3.1.a. 3.1.a	

We believe this voting activity is consistent with the manager's stated approach, and so is also consistent with the Scheme's approach

Minerva Says

The reported 'Significant Vote' information seems to be consistent with LGIM's stated voting policy, and so is consistent with the Scheme's expectations of them.

8 Manager Engagement Information

The Trustee has set the following expectation in the Scheme's SIP in relation to its managers' engagement activity:

The investment manager should engage with companies to take account of ESG factors in the exercise of such rights as the Trustee believes this will be beneficial to the financial interests of members over the long term. The Trustee will review the investment managers' voting policies, with the help of its investment consultant, and decide if they are appropriate.

The Trustee also expects the fund manager to engage with investee companies on the capital structure and management of conflicts of interest.

If the policies or level of engagement are not appropriate, the Trustee will engage with the investment manager, with the help of its investment consultant, to influence the investment managers' policy. If this fails, the Trustee will review the investments made with the investment manager.

The Trustee believes that an important part of responsible oversight is for the Scheme's investment managers to engage with the senior management of investee companies on any perceived risks or shortcomings – both financial and non-financial – relating to the operation of the business, with a specific focus on ESG factors. As such, they expect the Scheme's managers to engage with investee companies where they have identified any such issues.

The following table(s) summarises the engagement activity of the manager(s):

Table 8.1: Summary of Engagement Information Provided

Manager	Engagement Information Obtained	Level of Available information	Info Covers Scheme's Reporting Period?	Comments
Apollo	YES	FUND	YES	The manager provided basic fund level engagement information covering the Scheme's reporting period
LGIM	YES	FUND	YES	The manager provided basic fund level engagement information covering the Scheme's reporting period
Partners Group	YES	FUND	YES	The manager provided basic fund level engagement information covering the Scheme's reporting period

Table Key

GREEN = A positive result. The manager has provided engagement information / fund level info available / matches the Scheme's reporting / investment holding period

ORANGE = A 'partial' result. We had to try to source engagement information / firm level info available / does not match the Scheme's reporting / investment holding period

RED = A negative result. No engagement information was located at any level

Apollo					Breakdown of Engagement Topics Covered				Outc	Outcomes	
Fı	und(s)	Period Start	Period End	No. of Engagements	Environmental	Social	Governance	Other	Resolved	Open	
Total Return Fund		01/01/23	31/12/23	138	26.8%	18.1%	29.0%	26.1%	Not Stated	Not Stated	
Aspect of Engagement Activity	Details										
Key Points of the Manager's Engagement Policy	The manager sets out their approach to company engagement in a document titled 'The Evolution of ESG Credit at Apollo': 'Apollo sees engagement with issuers as an integral part of the lending process and believes that debtholders can play a meaningful role in encouraging positive changes in issuer disclosure, behaviour, and decision-making that can impact financial performance. Apollo's ESG Credit Team continues to develop infrastructure to more effectively track and report on engagement activity. As a result of these efforts, we are now able to capture more granular details of ESG-related engagement activities and associated outcomes.'										
Additional information on engagements provided by the Manager	 was provided in terms engagement o collaborative e process for esc 	 collaborative engagements; process for escalating ineffective engagement; and 									
Comparison of the Manager's Engagement Activity vs the Scheme's policy	An example of a report 12/12/23 - Adani Port Engagement Descriptio According to the compa materially down from 10 The company shared the requirements today) and	s and Special n: 'We engage ny, thermal co 00% where th at the expect d as a result, c	Economic Zoned with Adani comprises ey started. demand for conoking coal is l	Ports on their the less than 25% of oking coal in Indicible	gagement on Envirermal coal exposure the company's cargo to increase matericals a proportion of the	and emissior o mix today. ally (India's do e total mix.	ns reduction strate, An additional 8-10 Omestic coking cod	0% of the mix is	: 50% of the co	ountry's	
	The company also exped gradual reduction in the							-6 years. As a re	esult, they exp	ect a	

	According to Adani, importing of natural gas results in a similar emissions footprint as domestic thermal coal given significant emissions associated with gasification and liquification of the gas. As a result they expect coal to remain a source of base power for the country, and to be offset by renewables over time.
	In terms of their Scope 1 and 2 emissions, the company is targeting carbon neutrality by 2025 and net zero by 2040. The main initiatives it is undertaking include the electrification of their inter terminal vehicles (ITVs) and cranes with the goal that they can run entirely on renewable energy. The company plans to announce an increase in their renewable energy capacity from 250 MW to 1000 MW this year.
	They are starting to do mangrove reforestation given the carbon sequestration benefits. Have already reforested 3,400 hectares and plan to increase this by another 2,000 hectares.
	Scope 3 emissions (60% of their emissions footprint): The company is working on electrifying the cargo handling process. Want to electrify the entire network within the ports. Also building infrastructure at their ports so ships can run on renewable electricity supply. Working with the government to accelerate this transition.'
	Engagement Outcome: Not Stated.
Is Engagement Activity in Line with the Scheme's Policy?	Whilst we believe that the manager's engagement approach is consistent with the Scheme's approach, we believe that the manager should be able to provide more information relating to engagements undertaken at fund level.

LGIM	Breakdown of Engagement Topics Covered				Outcomes				
Fund(s)	Period Start	Period End	No. of Engagements	Environmental	Social	Governance	Other	Resolved	Open
Absolute Return Bond Plus Fund	01/01/23	31/12/23	159	43.4%	15.1%	27.0%	14.5%	Not Stated	Not Stated
Diversified Fund	01/01/23	31/12/23	2,373	61.1%	11.8%	21.2%	5.9%	Not Stated	Not Stated
Aspect of									

		01/01/23	31/12/23	2,3/3	01.1%	11.0%	21.2%	3.7%	Stated	Stated		
Aspect of Engagement Activity	Details											
Key Points of the	LGIM's Investment Stewardship team focuses on client outcomes and broader societal and environmental impacts in its engagements with companies, taking the following six step approach:											
Manager's Engagement Policy	 Identify the most material ESG issues Formulate a strategy Enhance the power of engagement (e.g., through public statements) 											

	4) Collaborate with other stakeholders and policymakers 5) Vote 6) By the declaration of the stakeholders and policymakers (1) By the declaration of the stakeholders and policymakers							
	6) Report to shareholders From LGIM's most recent Active Ownership Report the manager has identified the following as their top 5 engagement topics:							
	 Climate Change Remuneration Diversity (Gender and Ethnicity) Board Composition Strategy 							
Additional information on engagements provided by the Manager	Whilst the manager provided a list of engagements undertaken on investments in the fund during the Scheme's holding period, no additional information was provided in terms of: engagement objectives; collaborative engagements; process for escalating ineffective engagement; and whether any fintech solution was used to facilitate engagement.							
Comparison of the Manager's Engagement Activity vs the Scheme's Policy	Set out below is an example of engagement activity reported by LGIM in the Absolute Return Bond Plus Fund: 31/12/2023 - Barclays PLC - Environmental-themed Engagement Activity Engagement Type: Conference call. Issue Theme: Environmental / Climate Change. Engagement Details: Not provided. Engagement Outcome: Not provided.							
Is Engagement Activity in Line with the Scheme's Policy?	Whilst we believe that the manager's engagement approach is consistent with the Scheme's approach, we believe that the manager should be able to provide more information relating to engagements undertaken at fund level.							

Partners Group					Breakdown of Engagement Topics Covered				Outcomes	
Fund(s)		Period Start	Period End	No. of Engagements	Environmental	Social	Governance	Other	Resolved	Open
Private Market Credit Strategies 2020		01/01/23	31/12/23	3	33.3%	-	-	66.7%	Not Stated	Not Stated
Aspect of Engagement Activity	Details									
Key Points of the Manager's Engagement Policy	As Partners Group predominantly invest in private assets (as opposed to publicly listed assets), they tend to have board control over their investee companies. As a result, their engagement approach works differently from the Scheme's other managers, since they can make any changes they deem appropriate to the management of their investee companies. In the most recent Corporate Sustainability Report the manager sets out their main engagement channels for portfolio companies: ESG onboarding; Annual ESG KPI survey; Ongoing ESG engagements as part of our entrepreneurial governance approach; ESG workshops; Incident reporting tool; PG Alpha (a proprietary tool designed to support cross portfolio tracking and review performance and development of investments). Partners Group have defined ESG ambitions 'for both our firm and portfolio of controlled assets, which include tackling climate change, realizing employees' potential, and achieving ownership excellence and sustainability at scale. Each of these ambitions has a series of sustainability targets and related projects attached to it to ensure that we make real progress in these areas and are able to track that progress. To drive action, there will be clearly defined responsibilities and governance mechanisms for our sustainability targets and related projects.'									
Additional information on engagements provided by the Manager	Whilst the manager provided a list of engagements undertaken on investments in the fund during the Scheme's holding period, no additional information was provided in terms of: engagement objectives; collaborative engagements; process for escalating ineffective engagement; and whether any fintech solution was used to facilitate engagement.									

Comparison of the Manager's Engagement Activity vs the Scheme's Policy	Set out below is an example of engagement activity reported by Partners Group in the Private Market Credit Strategies 2020 Fund: 2023 - Schwind - ESG-themed Engagement Engagement Topic: 'ESG' Engagement Details: 'Setting of ESG margin ratchets and timelines.' Engagement Outcome: 'ESG Margin Ratchet KPIs have been agreed with a focus on a) Greenhouse gas emissions; b) Gender ratio at company and board level; c) disclosure and a first reporting date was set for end of 2023 (currently being finalized).' Engagement Status: Not stated.
Is Engagement Activity in Line with the Scheme' Policy?	Whilst we believe that the manager's engagement approach is consistent with the Scheme's approach, we believe that the manager should be able to provide more information relating to engagements undertaken at fund level.

Minerva Says

As can be seen from the previous tables, the Scheme's managers' 'Engagement Activity' generally appears to comply with their own engagement approaches, and so also complies with the Scheme's approach.

9 Conclusions

9.1 Assessment of Compliance

In this report, Minerva has undertaken an independent review of the Scheme's external asset managers' voting and engagement activity. The main objective of the review is for Minerva to be in a position to say that the activities undertaken on the Scheme's behalf by its agents are aligned with its own policies.

Set out in the following table is Minerva's assessment of each manager's compliance with the Scheme's approach:

Table 9.1: Summary Assessment of Compliance

Does the Manager's Reported Activity Follow the Scheme's Expectations: UK Significant **Fund/Product** Voting **Engagement** Use of a 'Proxy Stewardship Overall Investment Fund/ Product Votes Activity Activity Voter?' Code 2020 Assessment Manager **Identified** Signatory? N.I.R. YES N/A **Apollo** Total Return Fund N.I.R. NO **COMPLIANT** Absolute Return Bond Plus Fund N.I.R. N.I.R. YES N/A **COMPLIANT** Cash Fund N.J.R. N.J.R. N.J.R. N/A N.J.R. LGIM* **YES COMPLIANT Diversified Fund** YES YES YES ISS LDI Matching Core Funds (4 Funds) N.I.R. N.I.R. N.I.R. N/A N.I.R. Partners Group Private Market Credit Strategies 2020 N.I.R. N.I.R. YES N/A YES **COMPLIANT**

Table Key

GREEN=Positive outcome e.g., Manager's reported activity follows the Scheme's expectations

ORANGE=An issue exists e.g., the information provided does not match the Scheme's reporting / investment holding period

BLUE=Manager has confirmed that there is no voting, 'Significant Votes' or engagement information to report (N.I.R.)

RED=Negative outcome e.g., no information provided (N.I.P.); Manager is not a signatory to the UK Stewardship Code 2020

GREY=Not Applicable e.g., there has been no 'Proxy Voter' used due to the nature of the investments held

^{*} LGIM have requested that a Disclaimer be shared, which should be read in relation to any stewardship information provided by them. It can be found at the end of this report.

Minerva Says

Overall Assessment:

We believe that the Scheme's managers have broadly complied with the Scheme's Voting and Engagement requirements of them.

<u>Notes</u>

- 1) The preceding table shows that Minerva has been able to determine that:
- For the managers where Voting and 'Significant Vote' information was available, their overall approaches are in step with the Scheme's expectations.
- For the managers where Engagement information was available, their overall approaches are also in step with the Scheme's requirements.
- 2) All of the Scheme's investment managers are signatories to the UK Stewardship Code, with the exception of Apollo (which is understandable, given the location and primary asset focus).
- 3) We were disappointed with limited disclosures from LGIM, Apollo and Partners Group (engagements).

LGIM Information Disclaimer

- i. Carbon dioxide equivalent (CO2e) is a standard unit to compare the emissions of different greenhouse gases.
- ii. The choice of this metric follows best practice recommendations from the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures.
- iii. Data on carbon emissions from a company's operations and purchased energy is used.
- iv. This measure is the result of differences in weights of companies between the index and the benchmark, and does not depend on the amount invested in the fund. It describes the relative 'carbon efficiency' of different companies in the index (i.e. how much carbon was emitted per unit of sales), not the contribution of an individual investor in financing carbon emissions.
- v. LGIM set the following threshold for our reportable funds 1) the assets eligible for coverage e.g. eligible ratio needs to be greater than or equal to 50% and 2) the carbon coverage of the eligible assets e.g. eligible coverage needs to be greater than or equal to 60%.
- vi. Eligibility % represents the % of the securities in the benchmark which are eligible for reporting including equity, bonds, ETFs and sovereigns (real assets, private debt and derivatives are currently not included for carbon reporting). The Coverage % represents the coverage of those assets with carbon scores.
- vii. Derivatives including repos are not presently included and the methodology is subject to change. Leveraged positions are not currently supported. In the instance a leveraged position distorts the coverage ratio over 100% then the coverage ratio will not be shown.
- viii. LGIM define 'Sovereigns' as, Agency, Government, Municipals, Strips and Treasury Bills and is calculated by using: the CO2e/GDP, Carbon Emissions Footprint uses: CO2e/Total Capital Stock.
- ix. The carbon reserves intensity of a company captures the relationship between the carbon reserves the company owns and its market capitalisation. The carbon reserves intensity of the overall benchmark reflects the relative weights of the different companies in the benchmark.
- x. Green revenues % represents the proportion of revenues derived from low-carbon products and services associated with the benchmark, from the companies in the benchmark that have disclosed this as a separate data point.
- xi. Engagement figures do not include data on engagement activities with national or local governments, government related issuers, or similar international bodies with the power to issue debt securities.
- xii. LGIM's temperature alignment methodology computes the contribution of a company's activities towards climate change. It delivers an specific temperature value that signifies which climate scenario (e.g.3°C, 1.5°C etc.) the company's activities are currently aligned with. The implied temperature alignment is computed as a weighted aggregate of the company-level warming potential.

Third Party ESG Data Providers: Source: ISS. Source: HSBC© HSBC 2022. Source: IMF (International Monetary Fund). Source: Refinitiv. Information is for recipients' internal use only.

Important Information: In the United Kingdom and outside the European Economic Area, this document is issued by Legal & General Investment Management Limited, Legal and General Assurance (Pensions Management) Limited, LGIM Real Assets (Operator) Limited, Legal & General (Unit Trust Managers) Limited and/or their affiliates ('Legal & General', 'we' or 'us'). Legal & General Investment Management Limited. Registered in England and Wales No. 02091894. Registered Office: One Coleman Street, London, EC2R 5AA. Authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority, No. 119272. Legal and General Assurance (Pensions Management) Limited. Registered in England and Wales No. 01006112. Registered Office: One Coleman Street, London, EC2R 5AA. Authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority and the Prudential Regulation Authority, No. 202202. LGIM Real Assets (Operator) Limited. Registered in England and Wales, No. 05522016. Registered Office: One Coleman Street, London, EC2R 5AA. Authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority, No. 447041. Please note that while LGIM Real Assets (Operator) Limited is regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority, we may conduct certain activities that are unregulated. Legal & General (Unit Trust Managers) Limited. Registered in England and Wales No. 01009418. Registered Office: One Coleman Street, London, EC2R 5AA. Authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority, No. 119273. In the European Economic Area, this document is issued by LGIM Managers (Europe) Limited, authorised by the Central Bank of Ireland as a UCITS management company (pursuant to European Communities (Undertakings for Collective Investment in Transferable Securities) Regulations, 2011 (S.I. No. 352 of 2011), as amended) and as an alternative investment fund manager with "top up" permissions which enable the firm to carry out certain additional MiFID investment services (pursuant to the European Union (Alternative Investment Fund Managers) Regulat

Date: All features described and information contained in this report ("Information") are current at the time of publication and may be subject to change or correction in the future. Any projections, estimate, or forecast included in the Information (a) shall not constitute a guarantee of future events, (b) may not consider or reflect all possible future events or conditions

relevant to you (for example, market disruption events); and (c) may be based on assumptions or simplifications that may not be relevant to you.

Not Advice: Nothing in this material should be construed as advice and it is therefore not a recommendation to buy or sell securities. If in doubt about the suitability of this product, you should seek professional advice. The Information is for information purposes only and we are not soliciting any action based on it. No representation regarding the suitability of instruments and/or strategies for a particular investor is made in this document and you should refrain from entering into any investment unless you fully understand all the risks involved and you have independently determined that the investment is suitable for you.

Investment Performance: The value of an investment and any income taken from it is not guaranteed and can go down as well as up; you may not get back the amount you originally invested. Past performance is not a guide to the future. Reference to a particular security is for illustrative purposes only, is on a historic basis and does not mean that the security is currently held or will be held within an LGIM portfolio. The above information does not constitute a recommendation to buy or sell any security.

Confidentiality and Limitations: Unless otherwise agreed by Legal & General in writing, the Information in this document (a) is for information purposes only and we are not soliciting any action based on it, and (b) is not a recommendation to buy or sell securities or pursue a particular investment strategy; and (c) is not investment, legal, regulatory or tax advice. Any trading or investment decisions taken by you should be based on your own analysis and judgment (and/or that of your professional advisors) and not in reliance on us or the Information. To the fullest extent permitted by law, we exclude all representations, warranties, conditions, undertakings and all other terms of any kind, implied by statute or common law, with respect to the Information including (without limitation) any representations as to the quality, suitability, accuracy or completeness of the Information. Any projections, estimates or forecasts included in the Information (a) shall not constitute a guarantee of future events, (b) may not consider or reflect all possible future events or conditions relevant to you (for example, market disruption events); and (c) may be based on assumptions or simplifications that may not be relevant to you. The Information is provided 'as is' and 'as available'. To the fullest extent permitted by law, Legal & General accepts no liability to you or any other recipient of the Information for any loss, damage or cost arising from, or in connection with, any use or reliance on the Information. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, Legal & General does not accept any liability for any indirect, special or consequential loss howsoever caused and on any theory or liability, whether in contract or tort (including negligence) or otherwise, even if Legal & General has been advised of the possibility of such loss.

Source: Unless otherwise indicated all data contained are sourced from Legal & General Investment Management Limited.

About Minerva

Minerva helps investors and other stakeholders to overcome data disclosure complexity with robust, objective research and voting policy tools. Users can quickly and easily identify departures from good practice based on their own individual preferences, local market requirements or apply a universal good practice standard across all markets.

For more information please email hello@minerva.info or call + 44 (0) 1376 503500

Copyright

This analysis has been compiled from sources which are believed to be reliable. No warranty or representation of any kind, whether express or implied, is given as to the accuracy or completeness of the report or its sources and neither Minerva Analytics nor its officers, directors, employees, or agents accept any liability of any kind in relation to the same. All opinions, estimates, and interpretations included in this report constitute our judgement as of the publication date, information contained with this report is subject to change without notice.

Other than for the Pension Scheme for which this analysis has been provided, this report may not be copied or disclosed in whole or in part by any person without the express written authority of Minerva Analytics. Any unauthorised infringement of this copyright will be resisted. This report does not constitute investment advice or a solicitation to buy or sell securities, and investors should not rely on it for investment information.

Conflicts of Interest

Minerva Analytics does not provide consulting services to issuers, however issuers and advisors to issuers (remuneration consultants, lawyers, brokers etc.) may subscribe to Minerva Analytics' research and data services.

